

Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

Development of an immunoassay for potency testing of tetanus vaccines

Laura Hassall, Rebecca Riches-Duit, Daniel Yara, Paul Stickings

8 October 2024

Vaccine Potency Testing

- □ A potency assay is a regulatory requirement for the release of every lot of vaccine
- Potency is a critical quality attribute (typically measured using a single method) and is a test of the functional integrity of the antigen
- □ The potency measurement provides assurance that the vaccine lot will **elicit the desired immunological response** in the target species, and is an important **indicator of stability**
- □ The potency measurement also provides important evidence and assurance for **consistency** of the manufacturing process – i.e. that new vaccine lots are comparable to those originally used in clinical studies for which efficacy in the target species was demonstrated

Current situation for potency testing of tetanus vaccines

- Potency testing for routine batch release of tetanus (T) vaccines relies on the use of in vivo models
- Although refined animal models are available, and reduction schemes can be implemented with reduction of total animal number, the animal models have significant limitations:
 - Ethical concerns
 - High cost
 - Prolonged testing period
 - High variability / poor discriminative power

A new approach for testing legacy vaccines

- □ In the VAC2VAC project, we developed an ELISA that is intended to provide a quantitative (in relative terms) estimate of T **antigen content**
- □ The ELISA uses well characterised monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), directed against functionally relevant epitopes on the target antigen, that are sensitive to changes in the **quality/integrity** of the antigen



Characterisation of tetanus monoclonal antibodies as a first step towards the development of an in vitro vaccine potency immunoassay

Rebecca Riches-Duit, Laura Hassall, Amy Kogelman, Janny Westdijk, Shalini Rajagopal, Bazbek Davletov, Ciara Doran, Alexandre Dobly, Antoine Francotte, Paul Stickings

Biologicals, Volume 71, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2021.04.002

ELISA assay performance

□ Assay performance characteristics of the ELISA have been evaluated, and the assay has been shown to have many advantages over the *in vivo* potency tests

	Animal potency test	VAC2VAC ELISA			
Time required	4-6 weeks	2 days			
No. animals per assay (for 2 lots)	Assay dependent Can be >200	0	Development of a monoclonal antibody sandwich ELISA for the quality control of human and animal totanus vaccines		
Precision of potency estimate*	Assay dependent Typically 70 – 130%	~90 – 110%	Laura Hassall, Daniel Alejandro Yara, Rebecca Riches-		
Variability of assay	~16-36%*	~10%	Antoine Francotte, Bart Faber and Paul Stickings		
Discriminative power	Poor	Good	Altex, accepted manuscript https://doi.org/10.14573/altex.2401171		

* tetanus potency assays evaluated by Stalpers et. al. (2021)

VAC2VAC ELISA for T Vaccines – proposed use



clinical development such that they can be taken forward for use in the routine control strategy post-licensure

4. VAC2VAC ELISAs were developed with the aim of substituting existing animal potency tests —either alone or in combination with other in vitro assays as part of a consistency approach for batch testing of existing vaccines

ELISA – Applicability

The ELISA is suitable for testing a range of vaccine products and drug substances from different human and veterinary manufacturers

Results for veterinary vaccine products containing a range of aluminium $(AI(OH)_{3}, KAI(SO_{4})_{2}, AIPO_{4})$ and non-aluminium adjuvants (ISCOMS, Carbomer based, Saponin)



Note: all samples shown here are **diluted whole vaccine**. For some products containing an aluminium adjuvant a desorption step may be needed (as with Veterinary company A trivalent product).

ELISA – validation

□ Specificity

- Assessed by testing drop out samples for a range of representative vaccine products that contained all components of the vaccine except the T toxoid antigen
- No response was observed for any of the drop out samples except the one containing a Hib component conjugated to T toxoid as a carrier protein

□ Intermediate precision

- Determined by testing a human and a veterinary vaccine product (one reference batch and one test batch for each) across duplicate plates on several days.
- ✓ GCV of 4.8% for human vaccine product and 6.1% for the veterinary vaccine product

ELISA – validation continued

Dilutional linearity

- A "drop out" vaccine consisting of diphtheria and acellular pertussis antigens (plus adjuvant) but NO T antigen was spiked with increasing amounts of T toxoid
- Estimates for the spiked samples were calculated relative to the normal drug product vaccine sample
- ✓ Fitted slope not significantly different to 1.0, and intercept not significantly different to 0
- ELISA is sensitive to changes in antigen content



ELISAs are sensitive to changes in antigen quality



Desorption step

- Adsorption onto an aluminium adjuvant can mask the epitopes on the T toxoid either preventing or reducing binding of the mAbs to their target epitopes
 - Antigen detection for a veterinary trivalent vaccine from manufacturer A was significantly improved when desorbed compared to the whole vaccine
 - Desorption also increased the amount of T antigen available for antibody detection in the other veterinary products containing a KAI(SO₄)₂ or AI(OH)₃ adjuvant (Approx. 40% of the antigen detected in the whole vaccine sample compared to after a desorption step)
 - > Most or all the antigen was detected in the whole vaccine samples containing an AIPO₄ adjuvant
- Desorption step may not be 100% efficient, and effect of the desorption process on the antigen is not fully understood.
- □ In terms of monitoring consistency of production, it may not be necessary for 100% of the antigen to be detected

ELISA performance – consistency with real world samples

Suitability of the ELISA for monitoring batch-to-batch consistency investigated by testing multiple lots of the same product covering a range of different 'ages' (from the date of manufacture of the final bulk to the date of testing)



- dTaP-IPV vaccine, aluminium adjuvant (human)
- Estimates are relative to the batch circled
- Between batch variability only slightly higher than the within batch variability
- No evidence of toxoid-age dependent impact on estimates of relative antigenicity

ELISA – curve analysis

- □ Suitability of different materials to act as a reference preparation in the ELISA was assessed for different products by examining the **similarity of the dose-response curve shapes**.
 - Materials evaluated: WHO standards 16/302 (non-adsorbed toxoid) and 08/218 (adsorbed toxoid), manufacturer matched drug substance (T Toxoid or adsorbed T Toxoid), and a range of manufacturer matched drug products
- □ Sample and Reference curves were considered to be similar if the **difference** between the upper or lower asymptotes fell within -0.05 and 0.05 and if the **ratio** of the slopes was between 0.90 and 1.11.

ELISA – curve analysis examples



ELISA – curve analysis conclusions

- Small differences observed in the upper asymptotes and slopes for some of the products compared to WHO standards, but generally not against the drug substances from the same manufacturer
- Results suggest that, for many products, there will be different options available for the choice of reference standard to use in the assay

Transfer of T ELISA to other laboratories

- □ Successful transfer of the ELISA has been demonstrated to multiple laboratories
 - Each lab performed 3 assays, 2 plates per run (total of 6 plates per lab)

Study	Product + adjuvant type	Geomean relative estimate	Intermediate precision GCV%	
		difference (%)	Partner	MHRA
Lab 1 (H)	Tdap + AlPO₄	1.6	4.5	3.8
Lab 2 (H)	DTaP-IPV-HepB-Hib + AI(OH) ₃	14.2*	3.4	4.4
Lab 3 (H)	DTaP + AI(OH) ₃	5.5	2.7	3.9
	dTaP + Al(OH)₃	4.4	7.2	3.3
Lab 4 (V)	Ruminant multivalent + Alum	4.8	12.3	13.5
	Ruminant multivalent + Al(OH) ₃	9.6	5.3	7.0
Lab 5 (V)	Ruminant multivalent + Alum	4.6	1.8	1.9
	Equine bivalent + AIPO ₄ / ISCOMS	3.6	4.6	6.6

- Intermediate precision <15% for all products tested and GCV obtained by the partner lab was within 5% of that obtained by MHRA
- Geomean relative estimate difference within 10% for all products except one*

Conclusions

- Proof of concept has been demonstrated for the T ELISA, including evidence that the assay may be stability indicating
- □ T mAb ELISA shown to be suitable for a wide range of human & veterinary tetanus vaccines
- □ Assays are robust and successful transfer to other laboratories has been achieved
- Vaccine manufacturers from the VAC2VAC consortium are further exploring the utility of a mAb immunoassay approach as a potential substitute for current *in vivo* potency assays

Availability of purified mAbs

- The mAb pairs used in the T ELISA shown in this presentation are available from <u>www.nibsc.org</u> for laboratories who want to establish and validate these methods
- Removes one of the most common barriers to development of alternative methods – restrictions on the availability and use of critical reagents



Acknowledgements

innovative medicines initiative







MHRA (UK) Daniel Yara Paul Stickings Peter Rigsby

Rebecca Riches-Duit

Robert Tierney

Shalini Rajagopal

Intravacc (Netherlands)

Amy Kogelman

Bernard Metz

Janny Westdijk

Sciensano (Belgium)

Alexandre Dobly Antoine Francotte Maxime Vermeulen +all industry partners in the VAC2VAC consortium; project coordinator and other consortium members

VAC2VAC project funded by the EU/EFPIA/Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (Grant No. 115924)

http://www.imi.europa.eu/

http://www.vac2vac.eu/

www.nibsc.org

Copyright information

© Crown copyright 2024

Produced by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) with the permission from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, under a Delegation of Authority. To view the guideline, visit <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/reproduce-or-re-use-mhra-information/reproduce-or-re-use-mhra-information</u> or email: <u>copyright@mhra.gov.uk</u>.

Where we have identified any third-party copyright material you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

The names, images and logos identifying the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency are proprietary marks. All the Agency's logos are registered trademarks and cannot be used without the Agency's explicit permission.